



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/535,521

05/18/2005

Michael R. Emmert-Buck

4239-73127-03

7250

36218 7590 02/18/2009

KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP  
121 S.W. SALMON STREET  
SUITE #1600  
PORTLAND, OR 97204-2988

EXAMINER

CALAMITA, HEATHER

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1637

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/18/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|                          |  |   |  |
|--------------------------|--|---|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/535,521   | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>EMMERT-BUCK ET AL. |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b><br>HEATHER G. CALAMITA | <b>Art Unit</b><br>1637                   |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Heather Calamita. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Karri Bradley. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 06 February 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☐ was not reached.    h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: We discussed amendments to the claims which could distinguish the claims over the art of record.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

|  |  |
|--|--|
| /Heather G. Calamita/<br>Examiner, Art Unit 1637 |  |
|--|--|